UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/798,056	03/11/2004	Junzo Tokunaka	450100-04964	4967	
William S. Fron	7590 10/16/200 nmer , Es q .	EXAMINER			
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP 745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151			TAKELE, MESEKER		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2175		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		10/16/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
		10/798,	056	TOKUNAKA, JUNZO			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		MESEKI	ER TAKELE	2175			
The M. Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with	h the correspondence ac	ddress		
A SHORTENI WHICHEVER - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F IS LONGER, FROM THE ME may be available under the provision NTHS from the mailing date of this comprebly is specified above, the maximum syithin the set or extended period for replayed by the Office later than three months rm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a rep will expire SIX (6) MONT oplication to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the control of	·		
Status							
2a)⊠ This ac 3)⊡ Since th	nsive to communication(s) file tion is FINAL . This application is in condition In accordance with the pract	2b)∏ This action is n for allowance excep	ot for formal matte	•	e merits is		
Disposition of C	laims						
4a) Of tl 5)	a) 1-18 is/are pending in the ne above claim(s) is/a is/a. b) is/are allowed. c) 1-18 is/are rejected. c) is/are objected to. c) are subject to restricts	are withdrawn from c					
							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) D Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (closure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ail Date		Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application _·			

Application/Control Number: 10/798,056 Page 2

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to the Amendment filed 07/18/2008.

2. Claims 1-18 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 8 and 12 are independent claims. In the instant Amendment, claims 1, 8 and 12 were amended. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1, 3-4, 7-8, 10-12, 14-15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuller et al. ("Fuller" US Patent No.: 6,833,865) in view of Harper et al. ("Harper", US Patent No.: 6,476,817).

As to claim 1, Fuller discloses An information processing apparatus for handling a storage medium storing content data and metadata associated therewith (col., 2 lines, 52-67), , comprising:

an extracting section for extracting, from said metadata stored on said storage medium (abstract, col., 2 lines, 52-67 and claim 32), and for generating a metadata extraction window (col., 3 lines, 45-67),

wherein the extraction section performs automatic extraction in accordance with a preset extraction condition (col., 2 lines, 52-67) and manual extraction in accordance with a selective instruction made by a user (col., 4 lines, 1-20 and Figure 4 (element 401)); and

Application/Control Number: 10/798,056

Art Unit: 2175

wherein the information display unit displays user-selectable metadata in the metadata extraction window (col., 4 lines, 30-45 and claim 20).

However Fuller does not explicitly disclose (a) said storage medium being provided with on a surface thereof an information display area (b) display data to be displayed in said information display area (c) an information display unit for displaying the extracted display data and the metadata extraction window onto said information display area.

Harper, from the same field of endeavor discloses (a) said storage medium being provided with on a surface thereof an information display area (col., 2 line 62-64 and Figure 4) (b) display data to be displayed in said information display area (col., 2 line 62-64 and Figure 4) (c) an information display unit for displaying the extracted display data and the metadata extraction window onto said information display area (col., 2 line 62-64 and Figure 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Fuller's teaching with the teaching of Harper, because Harper's device eliminates the need of the display driver within the storage medium.

As to claim 3, Harper discloses wherein said information display area is exchangeable with another information display area. Yamaguchi from the same field of endeavor disclose wherein said information display area is exchangeable with another information display area (Figures 1 and 4).

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 4, Harper discloses wherein said information display area is constituted by a rewrite sheet (col., 4 line, 50).

As to claim 7, Harper discloses wherein said content data include at least video content data and said information display unit displays, in said information display area, thumbnail image data extracted from said video content data on the basis of said metadata (col., 5 lines, 30-55).

Claims 8 and 12 are similar in scope to claim 1 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 10 and 14 are similar in scope to claim 3 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 11 and 15 are similar in scope to claim 4 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 18 is similar in scope to claim 7 respectively, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

4. Claims 2, 5-6, 9, 13, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuller et al. ("Fuller" US Patent No.: 6,833,865) in view of Harper et al. ("Harper", US Patent No.: 6,476,817) in further in view of Bloch et al. ("Bloch" Us Patent No.: 5,754,102).

Application/Control Number: 10/798,056

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 2, Fuller and Harper do not disclose wherein said information display area is rewritable.

However Bloch from the same field of endeavor discloses wherein said information display area is rewritable (such as, "electric paper" system is that such a display can be re-written upon essentially limitlessly, col., 4 line, 50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Fuller and Harper's teaching with the teaching of Bloch.

The motivation to combine will provide for adding/deleting data to/from the storage media as desired.

As to claim 5, Bloch discloses, wherein said information display unit displays, in said information display area, said display data by coding at least a part thereof (col., 2 lines, 5-7).

As to claim 6, Bloch discloses a metadata editing section for editing said metadata in accordance with a processing result of said content data, wherein said extracting section extracts said display data also from the edited metadata (col., 3 lines, 23 -36).

Claims 9 and 13 are similar in scope to claim 2 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 16 is similar in scope to claim 5 respectively, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 17 is similar in scope to claim 6 respectively, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the amended independent. Claims 1, 8 and 12 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that neither Fuller nor Harper teaches or suggests the extraction section performs automatic extraction in accordance with a preset extraction condition and manual extraction in accordance with a selective instruction made by a user.

The Examiner disagrees for the following reasons:

Fuller in view of Harper teaches the extraction section performs automatic extraction in accordance with a preset extraction condition and manual extraction in accordance with a selective instruction made by a user (col., 4 lines, 1-20 and Figure 4 (element 401)).

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

Application/Control Number: 10/798,056 Page 7

Art Unit: 2175

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Inquiry

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MESEKER TAKELE whose telephone number is (571)270-1653. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30AM-5:00PM est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Bashore can be reached on (571) 272-4088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Meseker Takele/ Examiner, Art Unit 2175

> /WILLIAM L. BASHORE/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175